

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Maria Smith,

**Plaintiff.**

No. 2:21-cv-01030-KJM-DB

ORDER

V.

Henry's Holdings, LLC,

**Defendant.**

17 The motion to strike portions of the defendant's reply is **denied**. See ECF No. 24. The  
18 arguments in question appropriately respond to the plaintiff's opposition. See, e.g., *Bruce v.*  
19 *Woodford*, No. 07-00269, 2012 WL 1424166, at \*5 (E.D. Cal. Apr. 24, 2012) ("The purpose of a  
20 reply is to respond to Plaintiff's opposition. . . . [T]he Court will not strike Defendants' arguments  
21 simply because they were not raised in their motion to dismiss."). This order resolves  
22 ECF No. 24.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: November 29, 2021.

**CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**